YEAR

8	Was The Three-Year Average Percent Of Total Tax Collections (Including Delinquent) Greater Than 98%?	3/30/2010 2:09:17 PM	5
9	Did The Comparison Of PEIMS Data To Like Information In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate Variance Of Less Than 3 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund Type (Data Quality Measure)?	5/26/2010 9:11:21 AM	5
10	Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$350.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 7%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$200,000 Per Student)	3/30/2010 2:09:17 PM	5
11	Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material Noncompliance?	3/30/2010 2:09:18 PM	5
12	Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial Management Practices? (e.g. No Conservator Or Monitor Assigned)	3/30/2010 2:09:18 PM	5
13	Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund?	3/30/2010 2:09:18 PM	5
14	If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adequately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation)	3/30/2010 2:09:19 PM	5
15	Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable) In The General Fund Greater Than Or Equal To 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues Are Less Than Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable)	3/30/2010 2:09:19 PM	5
16	Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Threshold Ratio?	3/30/2010 2:09:19 PM	5
17	Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	3/30/2010 2:09:19 PM	5
18	Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?		

20% Over Two Fiscal Years?(If 1.5 Times Optimum Fund Balance <</td>2:09:20 PMTotal Fund Balance In General Fund Or If Total Revenues >Operating Expenditures In The General Fund,Then District Receives5 Points)

21 <u>Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General</u> Fund More Tha6.8(:)-.1(09:20 PM)**J**J-39.247 -7.3072 TD-.0032 Tc0 Tw(21)Tj\$0B 0 ET.962 0 1 69.72 6f Ca

69.72 6f Ca)2.8(o)-10.4Q.4(1(I)JJET5 P)-809t06 69.72 71 POt 00ts) Su5.1(n)mund Mor000a621Mul7(t)-.iplier-1ETq 1 0 C

DETERMINATION OF RATING

INDICATOR 17 & 18 RATIOS

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@t

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2008-2009 INDICATOR TEST 13

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY